

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
Michael E Fogarty McDermott Will & E 600 13th St NW Washington DC 2000	QMI Esq Emery	02/1017	7 [MINER PAPER NUMBER	
			. · Da	ATE MAILED: 10/	17/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/493,677

Leonard R. Leo

Applicant(s)

Sato et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Group Art Unit

3743



December to company the december of the decemb		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	
☐ This action is FINAL .		
☐ Since this application is in cond tion for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19		
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exter 37 CFR 1.136(a).	re to respond within the period for response will cause the	
Disposition of Claims		
	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
☐ See the attached Notice of Erraftsperson's Patent Draw	ring Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
X All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents have been	
🔀 received.		
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	lumber)	
\square received in this national stage application from the	he International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	prity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)		
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413		
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	948	
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
SEE OFFICE ACTION OF	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Serial Number: 09/493,677 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-9 and 15-21, drawn to a heat sink, classified in class 165, subclass 80.3.

II. Claims 10-14, drawn to a method of manufacturing a heat sink, classified in class 29, subclass 890.03.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as molding or casting.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Should applicant elect the invention of Group I, claims 3 and 18 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising a "rectangle, trapezoid, triangle, and a shape whose sectional width tapers". See Figures 2b-2e. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Serial Number: 09/493,677 Page 3

Art Unit: 3743

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Serial Number: 09/493,677 Page 4

Art Unit: 3743

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is (703) 308-2611.

LEONARD R. LEO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Leonard 1 Les

ART UNIT 3743

October 16, 2000